

## **Evaluation of the WOC 2006 maps:**

Evaluation of the WOC 2006 maps: .....	1
General: .....	1
The organisation:.....	1
The printing:.....	1
The conclusion: .....	1
Evaluated maps : .....	2
Gjern Bakker 1:10000: Middle distance Final .....	2
Lina Vesterskov 1:15000: Long distance qualification .....	3
Londal 1:15000: long distance.....	4
Mindeparken 1:4000: Sprint (later examination) .....	

### ***General:***

The test is based of papermaps, and scanned maps. The original files are Illustrator files. I do not have the program. Everything is scanned to jpg files 600 dpi.

### ***The organisation:***

There have been one coordinator and a crew of field working mappers. For the Addit Londal map a semi professional Swedish mapper has been supporting.

### ***The printing:***

All maps were offset printed in 4 colour with purple as overprinting. The overprinting effect is OK. The colours are a bit darker than the testing papers from Erik and the print tech project test sheet. A result of this dark print is that the blue lines are difficult to see in the dark green

### ***The conclusion:***

Perhaps I am not the right to test the Danish WOC maps, but except the too dark printing I think the maps are very fine.

## ***Evaluated maps :***

### **Gjern Bakker 1:10000: Middle distance Final**

Sizes in mm. (measured by a peak stand micro):

	The map	ISOM 1:15000	ISOM 1:10000
Index contours width	0,4	0,25	0,375
Contours width	0,24①	0,14	0,21
Pit up size	1,4	0,8	1,2
Small knoll	0,75	0,5	0,75
Waterhole up size	1,5	0,8	1,2
Crossable small watercourse	0,22	0,14	0,21
Footpath	0,37	0,25	0,375
Distinct vegetation boundary width	0,34	0,22	0,33
Distinct vegetation boundary distance	0,75	0,5	0,75

① The print is not quite exact. The Yellow in the brown is a bit inaccurate. The sizes makes no reason for further comments



- Again in this map we see some cut, ended objects, which I suppose is a sign of use of Illustrator. Ocad makes endings of same size, but not sizes according to the specification.



- It could be a discussion of the generalisation of the map. It would be relevant for us



- Missing exaggerations of earthbanks




## Lina Vesterskov 1:15000: Long distance qualification

Sizes in mm. (measured by a peak stand micro):


	The map	ISOM 1:15000	ISOM 1:10000
Index contours width	0,28	0,25	0,375
Contours width	0,14	0,14	0,21
Pit up size	0,85	0,8	1,2
Small knoll	0,55	0,5	0,75
Waterhole up size	0,86	0,8	1,2
Crossable small watercourse	0,16	0,14	0,21
Footpath	0,25	0,25	0,375
Distinct vegetation boundary width	0,22	0,22	,033
Distinct vegetation boundary distance	0,5	0,5	0,75

The sizes makes no reason for comments


- 2 different ways of contours at earthbanks



- Hard to understand the connections



- Something is wrong? with the contour



It is very difficult to see the blue ditches in the dark green


## Londal 1:15000: long distance

Sizes in mm. (measured by a peak stand micro):

	The map	ISOM 1:15000	ISOM 1:10000
Index contours width	0,27	0,25	0,375
Contours width	0,14	0,14	0,21
Pit up size	0,85	0,8	1,2
Small knoll	0,5	0,5	0,75
Waterhole up size	0,84	0,8	1,2
Crossable small watercourse	0,14	0,14	0,21
Footpath	0,25	0,25	0,375
Distinct vegetation boundary width	0,2	0,22	,033
Distinct vegetation boundary distance	0,5	0,5	0,75

The sizes makes no reason for comments

The contour lines are in some places not connected with earth banks. I prefer that contours are a unbroken ñ except by crossing brown objects, Gully, knoll, pit e.g.



There are several examples of missing connections between the paths, distinct or less distinct. I doubt that this is invisible from the road.



Are we sure there is no connection from the narrow rides?



Sometimes the endings of the objects are getting strange. I don't know if it is an illustrator problem.

